UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,489	03/02/2004	Yasuhiro Koyanagi	170A 3545	1873
	7590 08/25/200 IUEL URQUHART OI	EXAMINER		
KODA/ANDROLIA 865 S. FIGUEROA STREET, 10 TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			RONESI, VICKEY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		08/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/79	91,489	KOYANAGI, Y	KOYANAGI, YASUHIRO		
		Exam	iiner	Art Unit			
		VICK	EY RONESI	1796			
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appears of	n the cover shee	t with the correspondence	address		
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time rr after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	LONGER, FROM THE N hay be available under the provision IS from the mailing date of this com is specified above, the maximum s	MAILING DATE OI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause th	F THIS COMMU no event, however, ma and will expire SIX (6) Ne application to become	y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of the e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.		
Status							
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this		2b)⊡ This action for allowance exc	is non-final. cept for formal m	natters, prosecution as to C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is		
Disposition of Clai	ms						
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1 7) ☐ Claim(s) _ 8) ☐ Claim(s) _ Application Papers	cation is objected to by th	are withdrawn fron	on requirement.	to by the Examiner.			
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	son's Patent Drawing Review (sure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Paper l	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 			

1. All outstanding rejections, except for those maintained below, are withdrawn in light of applicant's response filed on 6/5/2008.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.
- 3. The new grounds of rejection set forth below are necessitated by applicant's amendment filed on 6/5/2008. In particular, claims 1 and 4 have been amended to include new matter. Thus, the following action is properly made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

With respect to claims 1 and 4, the amount of polyvinyl acetate resin alone of 1 part by weight fails to satisfy the written description requirement of 35 USC 112, first paragraph since there does not appear to be a written description requirement of the amount of 1 part by weight with respect to polyvinyl acetate resin alone without it being in an emulsion in the application as originally filed, *In re Wright*, 866 F.2d 422, 9 USPQ2d 1649 (Fed. Cir. 1989) and MPEP 2163. While there is support for 1 part by weight polyvinyl acetate resin emulsion in the original claims, there is no support for 1 part by weight of polyvinyl acetate not in an emulsion. Note that original claim 1 recited sequentially mixing an emulsion of zirconium oxide, a liquid paraffin

Art Unit: 1796

and an emulsion of polyvinyl acetate at a ratio of 1:4:1. That is, the 1 pbw of polyvinyl acetate in original claim 1 is actually 1 pbw of an emulsion of polyvinyl acetate not 1 pbw of (nonemulsified) polyvinyl acetate as presently claimed. Hence, the examiner's concern regarding noncompliance with the written description requirement.

With respect to claim 4, the amount of zirconium oxide alone of 1 part by weight fails to satisfy the written description requirement of 35 USC 112, first paragraph since there does not appear to be a written description requirement of the amount of 1 part by weight with respect to zirconium oxide alone without it being in an emulsion in the application as originally filed, *In re* Wright, 866 F.2d 422, 9 USPQ2d 1649 (Fed. Cir. 1989) and MPEP 2163. While there is support for 1 part by weight zirconium oxide emulsion in the original claims, there is no support for 1 part by weight of zirconium oxide not in an emulsion.

With respect to claims 2 and 3, they are rejected for being dependent on a rejected claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quemin (US 2005/0129639).

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 5 of Office action mailed on 3/14/2008 and is incorporated here by reference.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/5/2008 have been fully considered but they are not 6. persuasive. Specifically, applicant argues that (A) that Quemin discloses the use of other ingredients not used in the instant invention and (B) that Quemin does not disclose the presently claimed ratio.

With respect to argument (A), the instant claims are to other ingredients as suggested by open claim language "comprising."

With respect to argument (B), Quemin discloses a makeup composition comprising 0.5-25 wt % pigment such as zirconium oxide (paragraphs 0108 and 0175); 0.5-40 wt % of an oil phase such as liquid paraffin (paragraphs 0090, 0100); and 0.01-5 wt % of an nonionic thickener such as a vinyl acetate copolymer (paragraph 0190 and 0201). These percentages provides for a ratio that overlaps with the presently claimed ratio of 1 : 4 : 1 when, for example, the compositioncomprising 5 wt % pigment, 20 wt % paraffin, and 5 wt % vinyl acetate copolymer. Applicant's conversion of the presently claimed ratio amounts to total weight % amounts is not applicable because Quemin teaches amounts that read on the presently claimed ratio.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/791,489

Art Unit: 1796

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 5

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vickey Ronesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2701. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/21/2008

Vickey Ronesi

/V. R./

Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Vasu Jagannathan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796